A Facile Synthesis of 2,3-Dihydroxyketones from 1,2-Diketones and Aldehydes Using Samarium Diiodide

Norikazu MIYOSHI, Seiji TAKEUCHI, and Yoshiaki OHGO Niigata College of Pharmacy, 5-13-2 Kamishin'ei-cho, Niigata 950-21

In the presence of samarium diiodide, 1,2-diketones react with aldehydes to afford the corresponding 2,3-dihydroxyketones in good yields with moderate diastereoselectivity. The reaction of unsymmetrical 1,2-diketones with aldehydes gives the products with high regioselectivities.

Aldol reaction between enediolates generated from 1,2-diketones and carbonyl compounds is a useful method to construct 1,2,3-trioxy units. However, to our knowledge, there have been few reports on this reaction so far, ¹⁻³⁾ and it is still important to find a more efficient method.

In the course of our investigation on the reaction with samarium diiodode (SmI_2), SmI_2 was found to mediate the enantioselective reduction of benzil.⁴⁾ The reaction is considered to proceed *via* samarium 1,2-diphenylethene-1,2-diolate. This prompted us to use such samarium enediolate for carbon-carbon bond-forming reaction with carbonyl compounds. In this communication are reported the preliminary results on the reductive aldol reaction of 1,2-diketones promoted by SmI_2 .

The reaction of 2,3-butanedione ($\mathbf{1a}$) and 3-phenylpropanal ($\mathbf{2a}$) was examined under various reaction conditions. When a SmI₂ solution was added to a THF solution of $\mathbf{1a}$ and $\mathbf{2a}$ at room temperature under an argon atmosphere, the corresponding product was obtained in 57% yield with the ratio of anti $\mathbf{3a}$: syn $\mathbf{3a}$ = 66: 34 (Eq. 1). By the addition of hexamethylphosphoric triamide (HMPA, 1 mol equiv. to SmI₂),^{4,6)} the yield was increased to 83% with the ratio of anti $\mathbf{3a}$: syn $\mathbf{3a}$ = 83: 17. Though SmI₂ generally promotes the self-coupling reaction of aldehydes or ketones,⁷⁾ in this system the aldol reaction between aldehyde and 1,2-diketone proceeded almost exclusively and the self-coupling of the aldehyde $\mathbf{2a}$ hardly occurred.

Next, the generality of this reaction system was examined using various 1,2-diketones and aldehydes and the results are shown in Table 1. Under similar conditions, not only dialkyl 1,2-diketones (Entries 1-4)

1a; R^1 , R^2 = Me, 2a; R^3 = PhCH₂CH₂ 83% (anti 3a: syn 3a = 83:17)

Table 1. Reductive coupling reactions between 1,2-diketones and aldehydes

Entry	1,2-Diketone 1 Aldehyde 2	Product 3 ^{b)}	Yield / % ^{a)}	anti 3 : syn 3 ^{d)}
1	Me Me 1a Ph CHO	Ph OH OH Me 3a	83	83 : 17
2	Et 1b	Ph OH OH OH OH OH OH	78	81 : 19
3	Me Et 1c	Ph Et 3c	94 (85 : 15) ^{c)}	88:12
4	Me Bu ⁿ 1d	Ph Bun 3d Me OH OH OH OH O	95 (96:4) ^{c)}	87 : 13
5	Me Ph 1e	Ph Ne OH OH OH OH	66 (93:7) ^{c)}	>95 : 5
6	Me 1a Ph CHO	Ph Me OH OH OH O	77	82:18
7	CHO 2g	Me OH OH O	85	83 : 17
8	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₆ CHO 2h	CH ₃ (CH ₂) ₆ Me OH	82	80:20
9	(CH ₂) ₈ CHO	(CH ₂) ₈ OH O Me ³ i	86	78 : 22
10	PhCH ₂ O CHO	PhCH ₂ O Me OH Me ³ j	76	64 : 36

- a) Isolated yields. In Entries 3,4, and 5, total yield of 3 and 4.
- b) In Entries 3, 4, and 5, products 3 are major regioisomers, and minor isomers 4 are as follows:

$$4c \; ; \; \underset{\mathsf{Et} \; \; \mathsf{OH}}{\mathsf{OH}} \; \overset{\mathsf{OH} \; \; \mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{Me}} \; \quad 4d \; ; \; \; \underset{\mathsf{Ph} \; \; \mathsf{OH}}{\mathsf{OH}} \; \overset{\mathsf{OH} \; \; \mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{Me}} \; \quad 4e \; ; \; \underset{\mathsf{Ph} \; \; \mathsf{OH}}{\mathsf{OH}} \; \overset{\mathsf{OH} \; \; \mathsf{O}}{\mathsf{Ne}}$$

- c) The ratio of 3: 4 are given in parentheses.
- d) The ratio was determined by ¹H-NMR. See Ref. 8.

but also an aromatic 1,2-diketone such as 1-phenyl-1,2-propanedione (Entry 5) reacted smoothly with aldehydes to afford the 2,3-dihydroxyketones in good yields with moderate diastereoselectivity. As shown in Entries 3, 4 and 5, it is noted that reactions of unsymmetrical 1,2-diketones with 3-phenylpropanal (2a) gave the products, which are formed by the reaction at the less hindered side of the 1,2-diketones, in high regioselectivity; the ratio of 3 to the other regioisomer 4 were determined to be 85:15, 96:4, and 93:7, respectively, by ¹H-NMR spectra. There is no report that exhibits such a high regioselectivity in the reaction of unsymmetrical 1,2-diketones except for mono-substituted glyoxals.^{2,3}) These results indicate that even small difference in steric hindrance of the substituents of 1,2-diketones plays an important part in the regioselectivity. Using aldehydes having functional groups such as olefinic or benzyloxyl group, the adducts were obtained in good yield (Entries 9 and 10), but the diastereoselectivity decreased in Entry 10. By this method, primary aldehydes reacted with 1,2-diketones to afford the adducts in good yield, but secondary and tertiary ones in poor yield. Aromatic aldehydes such as benzaldehyde give the self-coupling product predominantly rather than the aldol products .¹⁰⁾

In this reaction, commercially available phenylglyoxal monohydrate $1\mathbf{k}$ as an α -keto aldehyde was directly used just after the azeotropic removal of water, giving the aldol product $3\mathbf{k}$ in 77% yield with the ratio of anti $3\mathbf{k}$: syn $3\mathbf{k} = 63$: 37 (Eq. 2).

A typical procedure is described as follows: Under an argon atmosphere, a SmI_2 THF solution (0.1 mol·dm⁻³, 10 ml) was added to a THF (10 ml) solution of 2,3-butanedione (43.2 mg, 0.502 mmol), 3-phenylpropanal (105.1 mg, 0.783 mmol) and HMPA (184.1 mg, 1.027 mmol) at room temperature. After stirring for 30 min at that temperature, the reaction was quenched by hydrochloric acid (0.1 mol·dm⁻³, 20 ml). Organic materials were extracted with diethyl ether three times, and combined organic layers were washed successively with brine, 4% Na₂S₂O₃ aq. and brine again, and dried over Na₂SO₄. After evaporation of the solvents, the residue was purified by thin layer chromatography on silica gel (hexane: AcOEt = 3:1) to give the diastreomeric mixture of 3,4-dihydroxy-4-methyl-1-phenyl-5-hexanone (92.7 mg, 83% yield). Diastereomeric ratio and each configuration were determined by 1 H-NMR analysis. 8

References

- 1) F. Ramirez, A. V. Patwardhan, N. Ramanathan, N. B. Desai, C. V. Greco, and S. R. Heller, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **87**, 543 (1965).
- 2) J. Ichikawa and T. Mukaiyama, Chem. Lett., 1985, 1009.
- 3) T. Mukaiyama, R. Tsuzuki, and J. Kato, *Chem. Lett.*, **1985**, 837; T. Mukaiyama, R. Tsuzuki, and J. Kato, *Chem. Lett.*, **1983**, 1825; T. Mukaiyama, J. Kato, and M. Yamaguchi, *ibid.*, **1982**, 1291.
- 4) S. Takeuchi and Y. Ohgo, *Chem. Lett.*, **1988**, 403; S. Takeuchi, N. Miyoshi, K. Hirata, H. Hayashida, and Y. Ohgo, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, **65**, 2001 (1992). See also Ref. 5.

- 5) SmI₂ has become a useful and unique reagent in organic synthesis since Kagan and his co-workers' pioneering work: J. L. Namy, P. Girard, and H. B. Kagan, *Nouv. J. Chim.*, 1, 1 (1977). And for a recent review, see; G. A. Molander, *Chem. Rev.*, 92, 29 (1992).
- 6) J. Inanaga, M. Ishikawa, and M. Yamaguchi, Chem. Lett., 1987, 1485.
- 7) J. L. Namy, J. Souppe, and H. B. Kagan, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 765 (1983).
- 8) Each diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H-NMR spectra of the mixture of *anti* 3, *syn* 3, and 4. For Entries 1,3,4,6,8, and 10, each isomer⁹⁾ was separated by TLC and the configuration was determined by ¹H-NMR(NOESY) spectrum of the corresponding phenyl boronate (Eq. 3). And others were inferred from analogy with the above results and Ref. 2.

R¹CHO + Me
$$\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$$
 R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R¹ $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ Ph $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ Ph $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ Ph $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R³ $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ Ph $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R⁴ $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R⁴ $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R⁴ $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ R² $\stackrel{\bigcirc}{\longrightarrow}$ NOE anti

- 9) ¹H-NMR spectral data of 3,4-dihydoxy-4-methyl-1-phenyl-5-hexanone are typically described as follows; *anti* 3a; δ=1.37(3H,s), 1.34-1.44(1H,m), 1.69-1.80(1H,m), 2.06(3H,s), 2.61-2.70(2H,m), 2.81-2.92(1H,m),3.55-3.64(1H,m), 3.98(1H,s), 7.14-7.30(5H,m). *syn* 3a; δ=1.22(3H,s), 1.77-1.83(1H,m), 1.81(1H,d,J=10.2 Hz), 1.85-2.00(1H,m), 2.23(3H,s), 2.60-2.67(1H,m), 2.84-3.00(1H,m), 3.80(1H,dt,J=1.9,9.7 Hz), 4.02(1H,s), 7.20-7.45(5H,m). The data of each isomer are well agreed with those of Ref. 2.
- 10) The reaction of benzaldehyde with 2,3-butanedione gave the products as follows; the aldol product (3,4-dihydroxy-3-methyl-4-phenyl-2-butanone) in 28% yield (28% based on SmI₂), the self-coupling one of the aldehyde (1,2-diphenyl-1,2-ethanediol) in 43% yield (32% based on SmI₂).

(Received March 10, 1993)